

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
THI LICATION NO.		EING DATE	THO I WHILD HAVE HAVE	ATTORGET DOCKET NO.	CONTINUATION NO.
09/808,375	9/808,375 03/14/2001		Shaorong Liu	PB0006	3335
22840	7590	04/25/2005		EXAM	INER
AMERSHA	AM BIOS	CIENCES	NOGUEROLA, ALEXANDER STEPHAN		
PATENT DI	EPARTMI	ENT			
800 CENTE	NNIAL A	VENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PISCATAW	'AY, NJ	08855		1753	

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/808,375	LIU, SHAORONG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALEX NOGUEROLA	1753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repreply within the statutory minimum of thirty (nod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matter	· ·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 21-25 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-25 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 August 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrupt 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obje he drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been re eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/808,375

Art Unit: 1753

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's amendment of February 01, 2005 does not render the application allowable. Applicant has canceled claims 1-20 and added new claims 21-25 that "correspond strictly to the claims the Examiner found allowable in an earlier Office action dated May 17, 2004, including all the limitations from the now cancelled independent claims the new claims depend upon." However, a subsequent Office action dated November 04, 2004 cited new art (Mathies and Zanzucchi) which are relevant to the currently pending claims and which Applicant has not distinguished from the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/808,375

Art Unit: 1753

3. Claims 21-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mathies et al. (US 6,623,613 B1) and Zanzucchi et al. (US 5,755,942). Note that for Mathies the examiner relies upon priority from the provisional application 60/157,299.

Addressing claim 21, Mathies discloses a shaped microfluidic capillary array electrophoresis chip comprising

a planar substrate (40) having a first major surface defining converging first and second elongate separation channels;

wherein each said separation channel extends between an associated cathode port (45) and an anode port (43) defined by said first major surface,

wherein said substrate further comprises a plurality of separation channel groups, wherein each said separation channel group includes a grouped pair of elongate separation channels (42) extending in fluid communication between a common cathode port (45) and anode port (43), wherein each separation channel of said grouped pair of separation channels further includes a loading segment (segment extending from either sample well 41), whereby said first major surface further defines an associated group sample port (41) and a group waste port (44) for each separation channel of said grouped pair of separation channels wherein each associated group sample port and group waste port are in fluid communication across said loading segment of a single separation channel (Figure 4 inset).

Mathies does not disclose a first perimetrical edge segment extending substantially along said first separation channel, and a second perimetrical edge segment extending substantially along said second separation channel.

Application/Control Number: 09/808,375

Art Unit: 1753

Zanzucchi discloses a method for forming a shaped capillary array electrophoresis chip having a first perimetrical edge segment extending along a first separation channel and a second perimetrical edge segment extending along the last separation channel in the chip (see module 148 in Figure 7B and the unlabeled module in Figure 10 and col. 6, II.14-50).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the chip shown in Figure 4 of Mathies made of 4 modules (quadrants) each having a perimetrical edge extending along a first separation channel and a second perimetrical edge extending along a second separation channel as taught by Zanzucchi in the invention of Mathies because then several sets of separations may be performed simultaneously under different conditions. For example, the modules can each be made from a different substrate material (col. 2, 1l. 24-27 in Mathies) and wells and channels can be configured in each module so that each module is appropriately suited for a different assay/analysis (col. 2, 1l. 20-37 and col. 5, 1l. 25-37 in Zanzucchi).

Addressing claim 22, in Mathies as modified by Zanzucchi each separation channel group extends in fluid communication from a common anode port. See col. 4:62-65. However, barring evidence to the contrary, such as unexpected results, this is only an intended use limitation that does not further structurally limit the claim except for requiring an electrode at a common port because whether an electrode is an anode or a cathode is intended use, which depends on the polarity of the applied voltage. In addition, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to reverse the cathode and anode in

Art Unit: 1753

Mathies as modified by Zanzucchi if this will draw the charged analytes down the separation channels.

Addressing claim 23, Mathies discloses a method for forming a shaped capillary array electrophoresis chip comprising the steps of

providing a substantially planar substrate (40) having a first major surface (implied by Figure 4);

forming first and second converging elongate separation channels (42) in said first major surface (implied by Figure 4, which shows first and second converging channels as claimed);

forming a plurality of converging elongate separation channel groups in said first major surface, wherein each of said separation channel group includes a grouped pair of elongate separation channels extending in fluid communication between a common cathode port (45) and anode port (43), wherein each separation channel of said grouped pair of separation channels further includes a loading segment (segment extending from either sample well 41), whereby said first major surface further defines an associated group sample port (410 and group waste port (44) for each separation channel of said grouped pair of separation channels wherein each associated group sample port and group waste port are in fluid communication across said loading segment of a single

Application/Control Number: 09/808,375 Page 6

Art Unit: 1753

separation channel (Figure 4 inset) (this forming step is implied since all of the claimed elements to be formed are shown in Figure 4).

Mathies does not mention forming a first perimetrical edge segment extending along said first separation channel and a second perimetrical edge segment extending along said second separation channel

Zanzucchi discloses a method for forming a shaped capillary array electrophoresis chip having a first perimetrical edge segment extending along a first separation channel and a second perimetrical edge segment extending along the last separation channel in the chip (see module 148 in Figure 7B and the unlabeled module in Figure 10 and col. 6, II.14-50).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the chip shown in Figure 4 of Mathies made of 4 modules (quadrants) each having a perimetrical edge extending along a first separation channel and a second perimetrical edge extending along a second separation channel as taught by Zanzucchi in the invention of Mathies because then several sets of separations may be performed simultaneously under different conditions. For example, the modules can each be made from a different substrate material (col. 2, ll. 24-27 in Mathies) and wells and channels can be configured in each module so that each module is appropriately suited for a different assay/analysis (col. 2, ll. 20-37 and col. 5, ll. 25-37 in Zanzucchi).

Addressing claim 24, in Mathies as modified by Zanzucchi each separation channel group extends in fluid communication from a common anode port. See col. 4:62-65. It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to reverse the cathode and anode in Mathies as modified by Zanzucchi if this will draw the charged analytes down the separation channels.

Addressing claim 25, Mathies discloses a method for forming a shaped capillary array electrophoresis chip comprising the steps of

providing a substantially planar substrate (40) having a first major surface (implied by Figure 4);

forming first and second converging elongate separation channels (42) in said first major surface (implied by Figure 4, which shows first and second converging channels as claimed);

Mathies does not mention forming a first perimetrical edge segment extending along said first separation channel and a second perimetrical edge segment extending along said second separation channel

Zanzucchi discloses a method for forming a shaped capillary array electrophoresis chip having a first perimetrical edge segment extending along a first separation channel and a second perimetrical edge segment extending along the last separation channel in the chip (see module 148 in Figure 7B and the unlabeled module in Figure 10 and col. 6, II.14-50).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the chip shown in Figure 4 of Mathies made of 4 modules (quadrants) each having a perimetrical edge extending along a first separation channel and a second perimetrical edge extending along a second separation channel as taught by Zanzucchi in the invention of Mathies because then several sets of separations may be performed simultaneously under different conditions. For example, the modules can each be made from a different substrate material (col. 2, 1l. 24-27 in Mathies) and wells and channels can be configured in each module so that each module is appropriately suited for a different assay/analysis (col. 2, 1l. 20-37 and col. 5, 1l. 25-37 in Zanzucchi).

As for having 46 converging channels in said first major surface between the first and second separation channels, although only 24 converging channels are shown in each perspective module in Figure 4 of Mathies, clearly this is only exemplary and a larger number of channels, such as 46, could be made in each module. Barring evidence to the contrary, such as unexpected results, having 46 channels in each module, when Mathies shows 24, is just effectively duplicating parts for multiplied effect, which has been held *prima facie* obvious. MPEP 2144.04 VI. B.

Art Unit: 1753

Final Rejection

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALEX NOGUEROLA whose telephone number is (571) 272-1343. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 - 5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/808,375 Page 10

Art Unit: 1753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NAM NGUYEN can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alex Noguerola
Primary Examiner

AU 1753

April 20, 2005